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In the nineteenth century, hundreds of women performed professional feats of strength and endurance. Endurance walkers and runners known as pedestriennes were particularly newsworthy, gaining metropolitan newspaper coverage in Britain and North America from the mid-1870s to the late 1880s. By the early twentieth century, however, historical recognition of these women was scarce. Popular accounts of pedestrienne performances surfaced in the 1960s and 1970s, yet these women have received minimal scholarly attention. Some sport histories do not even acknowledge women’s participation in pedestrianism. Others have recorded their performances as a single incident or a short-lived fad. Contemporary texts that analyze women’s roles in sport relegate the efforts of the pedestriennes to a few sentences. Some histories briefly acknowledge the athletic endurance and significance of these women but include few if any sources. Two sources recognize a history of women’s footraces in England, but suggest that the phenomenon had died out by the mid-nineteenth century. An overriding thesis in at least two other sources is that the pedestriennes were brazen entertainers violating Victorian moral standards who made little contribution, or even a negative contribution, to women’s sport.

In contrast to past accounts, this essay portrays women’s footracing as an international phenomenon involving women of several nationalities and ethnic groups, with thread leading from medieval smock races to late-twentieth-century professional sports. It is argued here that the pedestriennes were not universally marginalized during their era, nor was their form of entertainment short-lived. Some consciously strove for and for a time enjoyed a certain legitimacy despite relentless pressure to marginalize them. Their eventual marginalization, however, is significant because it allowed groups to continue to restrict women’s activities.
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The story of the late-nineteenth-century pedestriennes should be of interest to contemporary sport historians because it illustrates how interest groups legitimize or marginalize cultural activity through the media and through government intervention. Powerful groups and their ideologies, then as now, are a major force for deciding what is newsworthy, profitable, revolutionary, or immoral, and ultimately how history is written. Interest group actions are interpreted here within the context of six ideologies: Victorian beliefs, capitalism, medicalization, suffrage feminism, popular culture, and physical culture. In the see-sawing tension between legitimation and marginalization we discern a familiar pattern: the spectacular successes of a few promote legitimation and embolden so many others in such a short time to copy their activities that the social movement we call a “craze” develops. Often, as in this case, the craze leads to perceived excesses and abuses which erode legitimacy and provide a rationale for interference and suppression.

This essay focuses on two newsworthy performers, Madame Ada Anderson and Bertha Von Hillern, during the rise of American sporting entertainment in the 1870s. Based on hundreds of newspaper accounts that were written about them, I find that interpretations of the pedestriennes varied, and that several interest groups were politically or economically involved in their public approval and disapproval. On one side, women suffragists temporarily accepted the walkers as symbols for women’s rights and health and business people fueled their popularity. On the other side, temperance and religious leaders labeled the pedestriennes as morally disreputable figures. Doctors and newspaper editors and reporters were divided in their opinions and interests, supporting or opposing activities as it fit their agendas. Later, the pedestriennes were identified by doctors and editors as exploited women in need of protection, stirring public disapproval of the events. Pressure to ban immoral and strenuous performances by women was followed by government action against such events. Women’s pedestrianism eventually declined in popularity, allowing myths of female frailty to persist despite evidence to the contrary. This essay also attempts to understand the actions of women entertainer-athletes as they arranged their lives. Based on newspaper accounts of Von Hillern and Anderson, this study suggests that some pedestriennes desired moral respectability yet walked for economic necessity or future material comfort. This story of legitimation and marginalization has contemporary significance as women athletes of the 1990s face similar circumstances of being newsworthy but controversial people in international sporting entertainment.

In the 1870s Americans were influenced by a number of restrictive ideologies. Victorian beliefs commanded that women and men maintain different social responsibilities. A woman’s proper place was the home, a place to protect feminine virtue. This notion was particularly true for married women. Although women were expected to be morally superior to men, they were thought to be physically frail. The emerging ideology of medicalization supported female frailty. Doctors who prescribed bed rest for the nervous and physically weak created a self-fulfilling condition that women were frail and dependent.
Enterprising businesses disseminated this ideology by publishing books and newspapers in support of Victorian beliefs. Consistent with these beliefs, women were often restricted from public leisure, vigorous exercise, and sports. Temperance groups supported Victorian beliefs by protesting against drinking, smoking, gambling, and Sunday public entertainment. In leisure, Victorian beliefs were restrictive for men as well. Professional sport was often located among the riff-raff who aggressively gambled, consumed alcohol, and smoked. Reading sporting and theatrical journals was considered immoral, and many women would not allow such material in their homes. Illicit reading was often restricted to barbershops or social clubs, and attending vulgar exhibitions in which scantily clad female entertainers performed was not done openly.

The ideologies of capitalism and physical culture did not always match with Victorian beliefs. For some business people, Victorian beliefs regarding women were less important than their desire to maximize profits. As a cheap and efficient labor-pool, working class women and children toiled in factories or farms, at home in the needle trade, as domestic servants in wealthier homes, or as entertainers. For the women involved, Victorian beliefs gave way to economic necessity, sometimes even family survival. The ideology of physical culture—a mixture of religion, diet, exercise, and alternative medicine—gained popularity in the early nineteenth century. Contrary to doctors who prescribed bed rest, doctors in favor of physical culture believed that women would be healthier and more productive if they engaged in physical activity. Many doctors and businesses profited from the prescription of gentle exercise for women with doctor-sponsored exercise equipment.

While aspects of capitalism and physical culture conflicted with Victorian beliefs, suffrage feminism and popular culture directly challenged the restrictive ideology. Suffragists certainly did not agree on all issues. However, suffrage feminist ideology allowed a growing number of women to challenge the status quo by gaining education and employment. Most endured the hard labor of raising children and keeping house, but growing numbers of young women entered the work force. By 1880 approximately 2.6 million women were engaged in wage labor in the United States. Popular culture also conflicted with Victorian beliefs. In leisure, it allowed young women and men to attend a variety of public and worldly pastimes and pleasures despite protests. By the 1870s popular culture and suffrage feminism helped establish an atmosphere for resisting Victorian beliefs. The public mingling of men and women of various social classes in professional sporting entertainment was one sign of this emerging resistance.

American entertainment and newspapers were formidable industries by the 1870s. Thousands of customers flocked nightly to theaters and halls for plays, lectures, circus spectacles, and sporting events. Hundreds of thousands bought newspapers that promoted entertainment. The largest daily newspapers devoted regular space and occasionally accorded headline status to entertainment and sport celebrities. Some specialty weekly publications existed primarily by printing entertainment news. A few thousand women worked in entertainment. Though women performers often played subordinate roles or were marked as less than
moral women, some were materially successful. Some women were theater owners, writers, actresses, and singers. Higher-class women had greater opportunity for working in legitimate theater, but many working-class women performers made their wages working in a variety of “dive” or saloon acts as burlesque singers and actresses, chorus girls, or as performers of athletic feats. Women performed athletic feats as circus performers, swimmers, boxers, baseball players, wrestlers, bicyclists, and professional long-distance walkers. Although several athletic performers were highly skilled, many were portrayed as women with questionable reputations. Their activities were considered popular and vulgar entertainment.

Women ran footraces for centuries in a tradition that would ebb and flow as a form of popular culture and entertainment. In England, smock races were popular contests for women beginning perhaps in the Middle Ages. Prizes for the victor of these half-mile to four-mile runs often included a garment or money. Contests were frequently held at fairs, yet they were presumably illegitimate for ladies. Participants were portrayed as nubile wenches, and spectators were portrayed as voyeurs. In the nineteenth century, lower-class women’s pedestrian efforts were described in sporting and local newspapers. In the 1820s the long-distance walking efforts of seven-year-old Emma Freeman and sixty-year-old Mary McMullen were reported. In the 1850s bloomer pedestrian Mrs. Dunne gained attention for her walks of several hundred miles. In 1864 Emma Sharp and Australian Margaret Douglas made even longer efforts that challenged men’s records. American women participated in smock races and pedestrian contests, though it is difficult to assess how frequently the events occurred. In 1851, bloomer pedestrian C.C. Cushman reportedly walked 500 miles. A year later, American Kate Irvine performed multi-day walks in England. Long-distance walking on a small wood surface, aptly called “walking the plank” became popular working-class entertainment. It is believed that American women performed these walks in saloons and at other exhibition sites, near or amid drinking, smoking, gambling, fighting, and prostitution. Though spectator crowds were sometimes large, the events were considered immoral by those holding Victorian beliefs. The walking track was not an acceptable place for a proper lady.

Women’s sporting entertainment gained greater newspaper attention despite Victorian beliefs. In 1875 National Police Gazette editor William E. Harding made a long-distance walk against lady pedestrian Madame Lola as part of a circus attraction. Their records and average pace were newsworthy for the New York Times. In 1875 and 1876 English swimmers Agnes Alice Beckwith and Emily Parker swam five to seven miles in the Thames, and gained thousands of spectators as well as international press coverage. Six-day walking races in Chicago and New York between German Bertha Von Hillern and American Mary Marshall also attracted thousands of spectators. The editor of one sporting newspaper, however, displayed Victorian concern before the contest, remarking, “How do these ladies propose to walk? If in petticoats they will soon tire, if in bloomer costume they will not make very extraordinary time, but if they strip to tights and trunks, and go for putting on a record, they will expose themselves to criticism.”
Neither Von Hillern nor Marshall walked to openly contest Victorian morals. Both performers dressed in petticoats and neither attempted to run. The twenty-one-year-old Von Hillern was said to be from a respectable military family, but emigrated from Germany when her family experienced financial ruin. The thirty-year-old Marshall, a door-to-door bookseller, was hoping to improve her family’s lot. According to the Chicago Times the contest was well managed, and “respectable and influential ladies and gentlemen” were present. The editor of Chicago Field, however, maintained his Victorian beliefs, stating, “It is not a woman’s place—the walking path—least of all a married woman’s. We can not look upon it as an athletic event, and give it notice to express our disapprobation of any such unfeminine display.”

Disapprobation notwithstanding, the ideologies of capitalism, popular culture, and suffrage feminism seemed to be holding sway. Crowds were so large that hundreds of potential spectators were refused at the ticket windows. The women also received favorable coverage from metropolitan newspapers. A New York Times editorial even suggested that these pedestrians were pioneers for woman’s rights. Noting that women had recently been denied the right to practice law before the U.S. Supreme Court but had been successful on the walking track, the editor remarked:

The acclaim with which the victor was carried off the ground signalized the downfall of an ancient prejudice. ... Obviously those who have aspirations above babysitting, dishwashing, and writing for the magazines will refuse to accept walking matches in lieu of possible forensic honors, Let such be encouraged, however, by what has been accomplished. The world moves—is moving. To day it is the walking match, next it will be the coveted Bar. After that, who shah tell how soon the ballot will come.

Newspapers continued to fuel the women’s popularity as athletes, and their managers attempted to gain respectability, Mary Marshall’s two victories against male athlete Peter Van Ness were news in the New York Times. Sporting newspapers reported that Marshall continued walking in New York, New England, and Pennsylvania. Millie Rose, a second attraction in the first Von Hillern-Marshall match, received star billing and local and sporting newspaper coverage in Cincinnati. Von Hillern continued walking in New England, but in less controversial solo exhibitions. From 1876 to 1878 the German performed in at least 25 events in 13 different cities. Her many walks were billed as a symbol of physical culture for ladies.

New England suffragists supported and profited from Von Hillern’s solo exhibitions, making her a symbol of women’s capabilities. The leading women’s suffrage newspaper Woman’s Journal included four articles about Von Hillern from December 1876 to March 1877. Woman’s Journal acknowledged her accomplishments to refute Victorian beliefs and medical claims that women were too frail to be full citizens. “H.C.S.” stated that “the remarkable feat of walking 350 miles in six consecutive days and nights ... seems to me the most effective answer to Dr. Clarke’s ‘Sex in Education.’ ... She would certainly convince the strongest men who might undertake to walk with her, that the human female ... is quite as enduring as the male.”
Businesses also profited from Von Hillern, treating her as a paragon of fashion and virtue. According to the Boston Post, two of her appearances at Music Hall drew daily crowds of 10,000 customers paying 50 cents-apiece. The Post remarked that “Miss Bertha Von Hillern appears to be the fashion, and her last remarkable feat will intensify the rage that her successes have excited.” Newspaper advertisements noted that photographs of the pedestrienne would be sold at a local department store. Also banking on the performer’s success, a hat seller in Worcester advertised Von Hillern hats as the newest fashion. Bertha Von Hillern was considered a “household word” in several communities. 33 The Worcester Evening Gazette treated her as a symbol of physical culture and respectability, worthy of praise from all classes:

She is not a mere professional intent only upon the pecuniary results and personal reputation to be secured by her efforts, but is doing her chosen work from a higher and nobler motive. She recognizes that fact, too often ignored, that women of today are too effeminate, and that each succeeding generation has less physical stamina than the last, and has determined in her own way to endeavor to incite women to self improvement in this direction. She is therefore an apostle of muscular religion, and so far as she brings light and health to the enfeebled and debilitated, she is a true evangel to her sex, and is worthy of their fullest respect, sympathy and countenance. 34

Although the modest Von Hillern may have contested the belief of women’s frailty, she did not try to threaten Victorian moral standards. Von Hillern worried what religious people thought about her. According to the Worcester Evening Gazette, “she is a regular attendant at church, and is conscientious and careful in her devotions. Her great fear is that in her contact with the public she may be suspected of evil, and she is every way circumspect and guarded. It is this natural modesty which prevents her exhibitions from turning into mere sporting affairs and which commend her to the confidence and good will of the best society.” 35 Von Hillern’s performances continued to be supported by metropolitan newspapers and doctors. The Washington Post remarked that many of the “elite” of the city visited Von Hillern’s 100-mile walk, and doctors publicly appreciated her accomplishment. 36 As the front page headline in local news, the Washington Star noted that her audience “was composed of mainly leading citizens, ministers, lawyers, medical men and a large number of ladies, all showing interest in the performance.” In 1878, the Washington Post even published a letter signed by 33 Baltimore doctors requesting that the “lady of refinement” demonstrate her brand of physical culture in their city. 38 Although women sporting entertainers were often portrayed as inept sex objects, Von Hillern received favorable reviews. The Washington Post stated that Von Hillern’s display of physical culture was “one of the wonders of the nineteenth century.” 39 Another editorial favorably compared her to the famous male pedestrian Edward P. Weston, stating she was a fine tribute to “correct diet, strict temperance and systematic exercise.” 40 Still another article noted that members of the Analostan Boat Club and other respectable ladies were spectators at her events. 41 Some businesses, however, profited by satirizing her efforts. Von Hillern was the focus of burlesque shows in
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Philadelphia and Washington, D.C. Despite her popularity, the pedestrienne is said to have quit the walking track for a more respectable life in Boston high society. A woman in Britain, however, was ready to fill her shoes.

Before Von Hillern retired, an outspoken and muscular middle-aged performer named Ada Anderson began making walking exhibitions. Madame Anderson, as she became known, claimed humble origins, born to a “Cockney Jew” father and English mother. The unconventional woman was single most of her life and worked as an actress, circus clown, singer, and theater proprietress before becoming a pedestrienne in 1877. In contrast to Von Hillern’s walks that usually lasted a day, Anderson’s efforts were much longer, matching or nearly matching men’s all-time endurance records. Her typical walks spanned hundreds of miles and many weeks with minimal sleep. Anderson’s training as a pedestrienne was important in gaining skill and conditioning. The pedestrienne took three months’ instruction with William Gale, arguably the best endurance athlete of the era and the only man to attempt longer efforts. In addition to her athletic talent, Anderson was an exceptional entertainer who fascinated spectators with songs, comical pranks, and short speeches. Anderson’s efforts profited the sporting entertainment business. Within the year the pedestrienne performed at least nine walks at seven different venues. She also gathered an entourage who depended on her success: a new husband, a manager, and a nurse.

Unlike Von Hillern’s image, Anderson’s persona was in more direct conflict with Victorian standards. Von Hillern was modest and physically small, a single lady who regularly visited church. In contrast, Anderson was straightforward and muscular, a half-Jewish woman who was middle aged, twice married, and who performed on Sundays. In her behavior and speech, Anderson displayed outspoken confidence rather than humility. In her speeches she exposed cruelty toward working-class women and publicly fought for her own material success. According to the Lynn News dated August 17, 1878:

Addressing herself to the ladies she assured them that she would never try to perform a task she was unable to accomplish, and for which she had not the strength. Some had said “poor woman, what she has to endure!” But she did not say so. She was a Londoner herself and had often seen the seamstresses... go to their daily toil and often sit up all night with a small piece of candle and only bread and butter to eat. Though she had to stay up all night, she was only too thankful that she was well fed and well taken care of. She then alluded to the present management in uncomplimentary terms and intimated that next week she would perform under new management altogether.

In October 1878, convinced that she could gain greater fame and fortune in America, the pedestrienne and her entourage boarded a steamship for New York. According to newspaper accounts, she hoped to secure a large arena, Gilmore’s Garden in New York, for a 28-day walk. Unfortunately for Anderson, arena owner and railroad baron William Vanderbilt was unwilling to rent her the venue. Madame Anderson was forced to occupy a smaller and less respectable site at Mozart Garden in Brooklyn.
Despite her assertive nature Anderson considered herself a moral woman. In an interview given later in the year, she gave her impression of Vanderbilt’s rejection and the inauspicious beginnings of the event:

As a consequence I was forced to make my first appearance in this country at a summer garden in Brooklyn, and never shall I forget my feelings on that first night, for with the rough men below me drinking beer and lewd women congregated in the building where I was to walk, it seemed as though I should sink from the thoughts of contamination, and that it would ruin me. I knew, however, that it was my only chance to get before the public, and determined that I should make these people feel I was a lady and not of their stripe, that they would make the locality and give way for good people. They did. In forty-eight hours not one of them looked in. The better class of Brooklyn soon learned this through the kindness of the members of the press, and it was not long before I had crowds of them watching my progress.47

Anderson hoped to gain respectability for her event, and her management made several moves to ensure success. The Brooklyn Daily Eagle noted, “The management intend that the strictest decorum shall be preserved and that ladies and children shall have a good opportunity of viewing this exhibition of human pluck and endurance.” Anderson encouraged gentlemen to bring their families, and a special entrance for families allowed respectable people to avoid unsavory characters. The management also enlisted newspaper personnel as judges to ensure that the contest was fair and honest. The track was certified by the city surveyor as exactly seven laps for a quarter-mile, and a railing was built to prevent spectators from impeding her path. The management even offered a $100 reward to anyone who would find Anderson off the track during her appointed times. Mozart Garden was remodeled with a three-foot-wide tan bark walking oval in the center of the building, allowing for a seating capacity of 800 spectators. Admission prices were twenty-five cents for adults, fifteen cents for children, and five dollars for a season ticket.48
Madame Anderson’s efforts were newsworthy, and the coverage was generally positive from the start. Newspaper accounts of her month-long walk began December 16, 1878, in the Brooklyn Daily Eagle (daily circulation, 20,000 copies). Coverage by the New York Sun (with circulation more than 100,000 daily) and New York Times (daily circulation, 25,000) started December 17. Headlines from the Sun and Times described Anderson as “a woman of wonderful endurance,” while the Times noted that “many ladies were present, and the best of order was maintained.” The newspapers described her as a determined but dignified, muscular woman, noting her previous accomplishments in England. Nearly every day, the newspapers reported her condition: whether she had fatigue or blisters, her temperament, who accompanied her on her laps, each recorded lap time, what she ate and drank, how her nurse woke her, and what musical numbers she sang. News reports noted that doctors visited Anderson. One doctor publicly referred to her as “the finest specimen of physical womanhood he ever saw.”

Prominent people visited Anderson, including local government officials and their wives, opera singers, and other entertainment celebrities. By late December the hall was filled every night with an estimated 4,000 people. Lists of prominent spectators made the performance more newsworthy. The Brooklyn Daily Eagle reported that “among the gentlemen who were present during the evening were Dr. Swaim, Justice Vorhiss, District Attorney Catlin, General Slocum, Alderman Dwyer, Rev. Mr. Parker of the Sands Street M.E. Church, Assistant District Attorney Jyre Wernberg, ex-judge Morris, William A. Fowler and wife, Dr. Waters and family, . . . Alderman McIntyre, . . . Dr. Rosalind, Counselor Barrett and many others.”

Anderson’s comments were sought and recorded by newspaper reporters, and her ability to speak eloquently as well as walk were vital for her continued popularity. The New York Times and New York Sun illustrated the performer’s confident and engaging demeanor:

Ladies and Gentlemen: I have on two or three occasions before thanked you for your personal and cheerful encouragement. I could not go on without your assistance. You have done your part, and I thank God I have been enabled to do mine. In every twenty-four hours I have tints of sleepiness which are very severe. While I sleep I suffer. Sometimes I wish I could never sleep, it is so painful to wake up. When I first began my walk I asked the ladies for their presence. I think from the number of ladies that they are satisfied. It is good for women to see how much a woman can endure. When I came to this country I heard that American ladies would sometimes walk two blocks. I did not know how much two blocks meant, but supposed that it must be two miles. Now I don’t think it good for a lady to ride two blocks when she can walk. As a lady experienced in walking, allow me to say that it is beneficial to walk.

Anderson publicly and perhaps shrewdly deflected moral derision when she thanked God for her abilities. As a proponent of physical culture, she also gained support from ladies by repeatedly expressing that her effort would show women their true capabilities. The Brooklyn Daily Eagle and other newspapers promptly
wrote articles regarding women’s health, stating that women should walk more, though not to Anderson’s extraordinary or excessive levels.\textsuperscript{53}

Anderson’s popularity continued to rise. By early January more New York papers and out-of-town newspapers began to cover Madame Anderson’s exploits. General ticket prices were doubled to 50 cents, then raised to $1, with special tickets on the stage for ladies and gentlemen raised to $2. Yet customers continued to till Mozart Garden to suffocation levels. The \textit{New York Sun} and \textit{Brooklyn Daily Eagle} continued to list many notable and respectable spectators. Women were her most loyal supporters. According to the \textit{New York Times}, the women were “so fascinated by the spectacle of a woman on the track performing a feat of which the majority of men would be incapable, that they watch her for hours at a time, day after day, with unflagging interest.”\textsuperscript{54} Noting that many churchgoers attended Anderson’s walk, even on Sundays, the editor of the \textit{New York Sun} remarked, “What will Brooklynites do next Sunday for an \textit{entr’acte} between services? The past four weeks it has been just the thing to stroll in to see Mrs. ANDERSON walk, before or after church. But next Sunday this resource will be gone. TALMAGE [referring to evangelist Thomas DeWitt Talmage] is about the only athletic exhibition left for Sundays.”\textsuperscript{55}

The \textit{New York Tribune} and the Brooklyn Women’s Christian Temperance Union (WCTU), however, opposed Anderson. The newspaper first cast doubts about the authenticity of the walk, then published a political order by temperance officials.\textsuperscript{56} The \textit{Tribune} rumored that an Anderson double might be taking her place on the track at night, but the claims were never substantiated. Temperance officials were outraged that Anderson exhibited herself in a smoke-filed, drinking atmosphere, and that their fellow church members were attending the show on Sundays. As a result, the \textit{Tribune} published a public denouncement by the Brooklyn WCTU. The article presented a signed petition by the Brooklyn temperance officials to the Board of Alderman, calling for enforcement of the Sunday laws:

\begin{quote}
We claim that the opening on the Sabbath of all stores, exhibitions, etc., to which an admission fee is charged, is illegal, and in this particular instance the illegality is heightened by the amount of Sunday liquor-selling which is an inevitable accompaniment, and also we, as women, enter our protest against this pitiful display of womanhood as alike contrary to the dictates of humanity and God.\textsuperscript{57}
\end{quote}

Despite the WCTU protest, Anderson’s performance was allowed to continue with great success. Her exhibition ended with more than 2,000 people filling the hall and hundreds of people lining the area for three blocks along Fulton Street waiting for news updates from inside. Newspapers noted that many in the audience “represented the best classes of city life—society queens who nestled in sealskin sacques and rustling silks.” As Anderson made her last laps she draped herself in an American flag and again publicly thanked God for her success. News of her triumph was telegraphed to papers from London to San Francisco, and press reports stated that the woman had received approximately $7,000 in earnings, a
substantial portion of the $32,000 in total revenues. Anderson was showered with gifts, from flower bouquets to silverware, and some newspapers hailed her performance as a symbol of women’s great capabilities. The editor of the *Brooklyn Daily Eagle* stated that “her success, it is not hard to prophesy, will revolutionize the opinions held by many of her sex on the subject of physical exercise, and particularly will it educate women in the direction of outdoor exercise. . . . The idea, as general as it is venerable, that a woman cannot, by reason of her sex, endure as much as a man, is exploded, and to Madame Anderson is due the overthrow of the mistaken notion.” District Attorney Catlin made a testimonial speech to Anderson, claiming:

> Her modest demeanor and a grace of movement unparalleled has captured the city of Brooklyn. Her victory is Brooklyn’s victory. She has won the esteem and admiration of both sexes. The best women of Brooklyn have shown their sympathy by their patronage and applause and have been rewarded a hundred fold. She has taught women they are not the weak vessels they have been said to be. I hope that women of Brooklyn will imitate her example in taking exercise.

Anderson’s success prompted a pedestrian craze that profited women and businesses. Ladies in Brooklyn began to walk for better health and appearance while dozens of working class women across the country were inspired to walk for money. In an article “The Best of Health,” the author remarked that “the interesting pedestrian feat which Madame Anderson brought to so successful a conclusion last week has given an impetus to walking, especially among the ladies who so much admired the grace and elegance of her motion and the perfect healthfulness of her appearance.” Working-class women throughout the United States were attempting to rival or surpass Anderson and profit from her celebrity status. Theater owners and entertainment managers were willing to oblige their new business for a percentage of the revenues, and doctors were willing to provide medical services. The *Washington Post* remarked that “Madame Anderson’s success has served a powerful stimulus to the leg industry. From all parts of the country there are reports springing up like mushrooms, doctors certifying to pulses and temperatures and people paying out their hard earnings.” The *Spirit of the Times* added, “Imitators of Mme. Anderson are becoming so numerous that we have hardly room to catalogue them.” During 1879, more than 100 women were walking for money, hundreds of newspaper articles chronicled the endurance efforts of May Marshall in Washington, D.C.; Madame Andrews, ex-boxer Madame Franklin, and Annie Bartell in New York; French Canadian Exilda La Chapelle in Chicago; Fannie Edwards in San Francisco; ex-trapeze performer Lulu Loomer in Boston; Ida Vernon in Philadelphia; Millie Rose in Cincinnati; and Kitty Sherman in Wheeling, who were all attempting month-long walks. All of these efforts were promoted as attempts to break Anderson’s record of 2,700 quarter miles in 2,700 quarter hours. At the same time dozens of others were involved in shorter events as part of the Madame Anderson craze. William Vanderbilt even agreed to a six-day women’s walking contest for Gilmore’s Garden, but the celebrity was already scheduled for other exhibitions.
Ancillary businesses also profited from Anderson’s success. Given that her performances were presented daily in large metropolitan newspapers, it would be logical to assume that articles about her increased newspaper circulation. Anderson’s face and physique also appeared on the front page of two illustrated newspapers, the *New York Illustrated Times*, and *Frank Leslie’s Illustrated Newspaper*. Other products were similarly affected by the Madame Anderson craze, as evidenced by newspaper advertisements. As advertised, women could buy pedometers for $5 at Tiffany & Company to monitor their daily walks, and retailers could buy mail-order illustrations of women pedestrians sold by the Metropolitan Job Printing Company for $20 per hundred.64

Yet, after Anderson’s success, some newspapers and religious officials questioned the purpose of such exhibitions, and government officials intervened to prohibit further performances. A *New York Times* editorial acknowledged Anderson’s “conspicuous pluck and wonderful powers of endurance,” but suggested that such performances should not be repeated. Sporting newspapers trivialized her record. The *New York Clipper* stated that Anderson was “wonderfully plucky” but could not give her the record. The editor argued that although the woman had completed her task, she may have gained assistance by people accompanying her on the track. America’s *Spirit of the Times* and England’s *Bell’s Life* remarked that “the performance has little merit as a purely pedestrian feat” and that women had already been acknowledged by medical authorities to be “superior” in “living with little or no sleep.”65 It is not surprising that this medical fact was accepted; undoubtedly this form of superior endurance supported women’s oppression at home and in factories. Popular evangelist Thomas De Witt Talmage acknowledged Anderson’s walk, but lamented that women doing traditional work were not given credit for their devotion.66 A sermon by Reverend W. C. Steele titled “The Evils of Pedestrianism” in the *New York Herald* expressed the outrage that morally righteous people felt about such events.67 In March 1879, police Captain Williams invoked a seldom used blue law to prohibit women’s Sunday walks in New York City, making efforts such as Anderson’s illegal in that locale.68

In contrast to her detractors, one suffragist acknowledged Anderson’s success as a public service for women while criticizing temperance officials. “E.B.” wrote in the *Woman’s Journal* that Madame Anderson’s performance was an important symbol of woman’s capabilities and need for healthful exercise:

> I went to see Madame Anderson on her walk . . . and was completely fascinated by her gracefulness, her modest and businesslike deportment, and dignity, She carried her head worthy a queen. Every firm, elastic and graceful step was a lesson to dawdling women floundering in pullbacks and mincing on heels. A lesson worth a hundred simpering Sunday Schools, notwithstanding the Christian Temperance Women’s protest. I believe Madame Anderson has done a good thing in demonstrating the ability and endurance of one woman, at least, beyond what a man is capable of. She has made speeches occasionally in her periods of rest, in which she has given utterance to her belief that women are committing daily suicide in not using more freely their powers of locomotion . . . . She has gained the respect of all who have witnessed her performance.69
Anderson continued her performances in six cities amid popularity and controversy. In Pittsburgh, the crowds were large despite competition from dramatic actress Mary Anderson and Buffalo Bill's Wild West Show. She initially received favorable reviews from the Pittsburgh Post and the Pittsburgh Commercial Gazette, each having a daily circulation of 5,000 copies. Madame Anderson encountered trouble, however, when powerful industrialist and church elder William Park, Jr., and officials of the First Presbyterian Church pressured the mayor to stop her Sunday performances. At least two other churches considered similar actions. With her business in jeopardy, the pedestrienne publicly countered Park's effort by noting that several of his employees were toiling on Sundays. In her speech reported by one newspaper, Anderson added:

> Let him employ his time in some other way than trying to hunt down a woman, both night and day to attain a position in society, in short let him go into his closet and study his Bible. There are a certain class of people who weave for themselves a cloak of righteousness, and certainly to their liking, and anyone who lifts the hem of that garment, or has not one made in the very same style is nothing short of the devil. Such a man is Mr. Park.  

The Pittsburgh Commercial Gazette promptly rebuked her, and in succeeding issues criticized her performance in general. Anderson and her management continued to perform on Sunday, cleverly advertising the exhibition as a “sacred concert.” Though Anderson was allowed to continue her walk, she was fined by the mayor, and her husband and manager were arrested for violating Sunday laws. Business continued to be good, however, and Anderson performed for 2,000 customers on her last day of contested walking. Consistent with their Victorian beliefs, the United Presbyterian reported Anderson’s irreverent defiance of authority and her continued popularity as a sign of world decline. Anderson and other pedestriennes continued to attract crowds and increasing controversy. In Chicago, Anderson’s exhibition reportedly sold 24,000 tickets in the first two weeks. However, the Chicago Tribune (circulation 25,000) published several lengthy letters, editorials, and articles complaining about the cruelty and immorality of women’s pedestrianism. Anderson’s walk was called a brutal exhibition, and newspaper accounts described Anderson as walking in agony. Nationally, newspapers referred to the walking phenomenon as an unhealthy enterprise, a virulent epidemic, a madness or mania. Competition brought greater records and intense competition as Victorian standards of decorum were increasingly ignored. Yet thousands of customers continued to pay to see such contests. Editors somewhat correctly described women’s endurance efforts as cruel torture brought on by profit-hungry managers. Further, they invoked medical authorities such as Dr. Benjamin Lee to substantiate the abuse claims and force government officials to stop women’s sports for their own protection. The morality of the pedestriennes remained an underlying reason for trying to stop the contests, however. A Chicago Tribune letter to the editor titled “Public Brutality” stated, “Our modern female pedestrians are a disgrace to themselves and dishonor to society, and an outrageous insult to every virtue which adorns true womanhood.
Preaching and exhorting can have little effect in its attempt at moral reformation so long as such sinful spectacles are witnessed by respectable citizens.  

Another letter entitled “Indignant About Mme. Anderson” called for government officials to arrest her managers for cruelty. Subsequently, police “benevolently” arrested her husband and one of her managers for cruelty. Although an impartial doctor cleared her to continue, Anderson reportedly slept through a few scheduled laps, and the contest was labeled a failure. The exhibition gained another scandal when the pedestrienne’s managers accused a Chicago Tribune reporter of attempted blackmail. Confident in herself, Anderson publicly fired one manager for what she wrote was “incompetent and neglectful management and gross conduct.” In April she attracted large audiences in Cincinnati. Unfortunately, the pedestrienne’s performance was marred by a lawsuit against two of her managers. According to the Cincinnati Enquirer, “had she one responsible manager, with none of the miserable hang-ons such as her husband, Wood, and some of the others, she would make both fame and money. As it is, she is in a fair way to lose both.”

Irresponsible and sometimes corrupt management and disreputable audiences were to lead pedestrianism in general into further criticism. Newspapers reported that at least two male pedestrians had died. In New York City, several pedestriennes were carried off the track, one of whom was rumored to have died. Editors and reporters noted that many women were untrained for endurance events but walked in desperation to improve their lot in life. In Louisville, Anderson quit due to poor attendance, but she was well received in Detroit with an average daily attendance of 1,000 spectators. According to the Detroit Free Press, “her behavior is entirely free from the slightest tinge of boldness or immodesty.” In Buffalo, Anderson’s detractors stood to profit if Anderson quit, but the bold woman continued. When a glass shard was found on the track, evidently placed there to stop her, “she informed the audience that a cut foot would not make her leave the track, and she would complete her task despite the efforts of those who wished to injure her.” The intrepid pedestrienne continued to walk despite poor attendance and an ulcerated mouth that required a tooth extraction between laps.

Anderson’s newsworthiness declined as pedestrianism fell into further disrepute. Her next walk in New York City was barely covered by the newspapers, except when the National Police Gazette reported that “roughs” had broken up the race and police had made arrests. In December 1879, Anderson eventually competed in William Vanderbilt’s building, now known as Madison Square Garden. The veteran of thousands of miles completed a respectable 351 miles in six days, but she was surpassed by competitors half her age. Although audiences for the New York contest were numbered in the thousands, the pedestriennes’ livelihoods were threatened as pressure to eliminate women’s contests gained momentum. Citing acts of cruelty to pedestriennes in Baltimore, Milwaukee, Indianapolis, Cleveland, and St. Louis, the New York Times called for an end to such contests. Whether news stories of brutality and immorality were true or not, they undoubtedly affected public opinion. Women’s pedestrianism appeared to serve no great purpose. With dozens of events held in dozens of cities, the events could no longer be substantiated as educational for women, or even
supported as novelties. Pedestrianism had now become associated with excess and brutality, as well as immorality. Doctors and suffragists who supported pedestrianism fell silent, and newspapers reduced reports on women’s sporting entertainment. In New York, the local Council of Aldermen agreed to make women’s contests illegal in the name of protecting women. Men’s contests were not affected by these restrictions until several years later.81

In early 1880, Anderson returned to her singing career as women’s pedestrianism became less popular. It is known, however, that she gave at least one more walking performance, a solo effort at Central Theatre in Baltimore in 1880. Anderson’s faded status was bolstered by a front-page advertisement that electric lights would illuminate her effort, and $500 would be paid to anyone who detected her missing a single lap. Anderson’s walk was closed to the public on Sundays to avoid conflict. At the end of her exhibition, she “made a speech from the front of the stage in which she returned her thanks to the throng and hoped to meet them all again.” It is not readily apparent what happened to Madame Anderson, although she had stated a year earlier that she hoped to retire by 1880. Women’s records continued to improve in the early 1880s, but their performances were considered less newsworthy.82

Several factors may be considered in the decline of women’s pedestrianism. Organized social pressure by temperance officials, religious conservatives, and doctors against women’s sporting entertainment appears to be a major factor in its marginalization. Government actions ranging from arrests to legislation against the events are also factors. Managers and theater owners who exploited women performers and created a dangerous atmosphere also contributed to discouraging spectators. Apparently, it was not simply public disapproval of the women’s morality, but also efforts to protect women that led to a reduction in vigorous sporting efforts. As events were represented as cruel torture against women, it would have been difficult for suffragists or doctors to continue supporting the performances. Bloody sports such as cockfighting and dogfighting had already been reduced because of their cruelty to animals.83 Certainly women deserved at least the same protection. The impression that such events were abusive toward women as well as immoral seemed to tip the scales toward greater marginalization. It should be noted that men’s professional events also fell into disrepute for their excesses and abuses as amateur sports became more legitimate and newsworthy.84

Still, women continued endurance efforts in pedestrianism, bicycling, and transcontinental walks for more than a decade. Women entertainer-athletes received some newspaper attention, though not at the levels of the Madame Anderson craze. Pedestriennes Millie Rose, Sarah Tobias, Bella Kilbury, and Indian Princess, who began their careers during the craze of 1879, appeared in six-day matches in Baltimore and Washington, D.C., in 1889. The Washington Post published daily articles about the matches.85 Three other pedestriennes, Louise Armaindo, May Stanley, and Elsa Von Blumen, became professional bicyclists. To safeguard their health, pedestriennes and female bicyclists were usually limited to performing twelve hours per day.86 Presently, it is difficult to assess when
women’s professional sporting entertainment stopped, if it stopped at all. At least one pedestrienne, Spanish immigrant Zoe Gayton, was walking in 1896.\textsuperscript{87}

For most of the twentieth century, images of women professional sporting entertainers faded as the idea of female frailty lingered. The most vivid memories of these women were that they were brazen and immoral burlesque entertainers. The myth of female frailty in sport, particularly in distance running, continued into the 1960s. Physical educators and doctors perpetuated this myth by restricting girls and women from vigorous sports and exercise. Several bold women did compete, but often against the rules and with the threat of being labeled as deviants. Women were not allowed to participate in most marathons until the 1960s, and the first official Olympics women’s marathon was not held until 1984.\textsuperscript{88}

It is not coincidental that stories about the pedestriennes resurfaced in the late 1960s and 1970s, during the rise of feminist ideology in popular culture. As women gained power and as histories of working women’s lives became legitimate, popular and favorable short stories about the pedestriennes were written.\textsuperscript{89} One feminist writer Barbara Walder, even referred to the pedestriennes as “fore-mothers,” giving them a status of legitimacy. Although the issue of gender inequality in sport gained scholarly attention, the pedestriennes did not receive serious mention. In the 1990s, historians identified the phenomenon, but did not see the historical relevance in conducting critical research. Unfortunately, popular articles about the pedestriennes written in the 1960s and 1970s have been neglected, and the status of these women in sport history is marginal at best. Feminists in sport sociology note that women athletes are portrayed as sex symbols or given less press coverage than male athletes in the male-dominated sports realm.\textsuperscript{90} The example of

Although the pedestrian craze was short, several pedestriennes performed for several years. Sarah Tobias, bottom center, competed for more than a decade. Illustration from Ed James, \textit{Practical Training for Running, Walking, Rowing, Wrestling, Boxing, Jumping and All Kinds of Athletic Feats}, 1877, New York: Ed James. (Courtesy of Ed Sears.)
the pedestriennes points out that such trivialization and marginalization can result in historical amnesia.

In the 1990s, women have become increasingly newsworthy but controversial participants in global sporting entertainment. Women entertainer-athletes have gained ground, but powerful interest groups and ideologies continue to determine how the athletes are portrayed. According to *Sports Illustrated*, Algerian world champion 1,500-meter runner Hassiba Boulmerka was symbolized both as a hero and an antihero in her country. Although some citizens were proud of her achievement, Boulmerka offended many fundamentalist Muslims by appearing in public without being covered. With rising conflict between Muslims groups in Algeria, Boulmerka became “a symbol of antifundamentalists.” In China, world-record holders Wang Junxia and Qu Yunxia were portrayed as poor rural girls who were willing to train in harsh conditions for their future material betterment. In their own country, these women were heroes. In other countries, newspapers and magazines reported rumors of their use of illegal performance-enhancing drugs. In *Runner's World*, “independent sports scientists” were quoted to discredit their performances. In Ethiopia, Derartu Tulu's victory in the Olympic 10,000-meter run “symbolized the possibilities of an emerging Africa and the potential for African women.” According to the *New York Times*, “her success, however, has not come without criticism.” The newsworthy but controversial nature of these women has striking parallels with the pedestriennes. Although it would appear that women have gained a stronger foothold in sporting entertainment, the pedestrienne story may illustrate how powerful interest groups and ideologies continue to influence how women athletes are perceived and symbolized.

*The author gratefully acknowledges James H. Frey and John A. Lucas for their reviews and suggestions, and Ed Sears, David Blaikie, Peter Lovesey, and John Cumming for locating historical materials.*
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