During my dissertation research on the history of women’s exercise in the nineteenth century, I kept turning up references to dumbbells, barbells, and other early resistance apparatus in unexpected places. In some instances, the printed references were surprising because of the early date at which they were published. In other instances, the references surprised me because of the manner in which the implements were used. After searching unsuccessfully for an authoritative text which would allow me to place these references in proper historical perspective, I decided to attempt the following brief history of these hand-held weightlifting appliances. I do not doubt that I may be overlooking parts of this evolutionary tale, and I welcome your additions and corrections.

Halteres, Dumbbells, and Other Early Implements

Although the ancient Egyptians, the ancient Chinese, the ancient Indians, and many other early peoples practiced resistance exercise, credit has traditionally been given to the ancient Greeks for producing the forerunners of our modern weight training equipment. According to Norman E. Gardiner’s *Athletics of the Ancient World*, the land that produced calf-carrying Milo of Crotona—the so-called father of progressive resistance exercise—had three weighted implements by the fifth century B.C. The diskos and javelin were thrown for distance while the hand-held *alteres* or *halteres* were used as a jumping aide and for purposive drill. “Indeed,” Gardiner wrote, “[the halteres exercises] were probably taught as a musical drill, for as we have seen, the time in these exercises was commonly given by a flute player. The jumping weights were . . . used much in the same way as dumbbells . . . for athletes are often seen swinging them in attitudes which can hardly have any connexion with jumping.” According to Gardiner, the writings of Antyllos described three different types of halteres exercises: “bending and straightening the arms, an exercise which strengthens me arms and shoulders;” a lunging exercise with the halteres held at arms-length in front of the torso; and an exercise in which the trunk is alternately bent and straightened.

Halteres varied greatly in appearance and composition during the era modern historians refer to as Ancient Greece. According to John Blundell’s *The Muscles and Their Story*, published in 1864, the author, Pausanias, “described the halteres as of roundish or oblong figure, not perfectly round and that in using them the fingers were placed as if in the handle of a shield.” Another ancient writer, Blundell explained, “mentions the use of wax in this respect . . . In the palaestra these were called halteres, and to make them heavier they were sprinkled with particles of lead.” Some ancient texts, Blundell reported, even applied the term *halteres* to the weapon used by David to slay the Biblical giant Goliath, which would suggest that the reference is to the object cast or thrown by the sling.

In the second century AD., the Greek physician Galen published his thoughts on the therapeutic benefits of exercise in *De Sanitate Tuenda*, a medical text which remained influential into the nineteenth century. Galen discussed using *halteres* for a variety of jumping exercises—broad jumps, high jumps, jumping from low to high places, etc.—and also described exercises which involved bearing weighted implements upon the shoulders, head and feet. According to Blundell, these “body” weights—seen in the accompanying illustrations—were called plummets and were used in exercises to systematically strengthen the body. Galen also recommended training with wooden implements; a piece of wood “with a piece of lead enclosed” should be used by gout patients, Galen wrote, until they were strong enough to use heavier implements.

As they did with most aspects of Greek culture, the Romans copied the Greek methods and implements of physical training. More warlike in nature than the Greeks, Roman males trained for military fitness rather than for athletic prowess or physical beauty. Interestingly, a fourth century A.D. mosaic from the Piazza Armerina in Sicily suggests that some Roman women may have used halteres in their physical training. Although historians are not sure whether...
the mosaic commemorates a dance troupe or a group of women athletes, there can be little doubt that the bikini-clad woman in the accompanying illustration is holding a pair of dumbbells in her hands.8 Although systematic exercise sharply declined with the fall of the Roman empire, Galen’s writings endured, and managed to keep alive the idea of resistance implements. In 1531, Sir Thomas Elyot published the *Boke Named the Governor*, and urged his Renaissance contemporaries to look to Galen’s *De Sanitate Tuenda* for exercise advice. For exercise at home, Elyot wrote, men should try walking, “labouring with poises [weights] made of lead or other metal called in latin alteres, lifting and throwing the heavy stone or bar, playing at tennis, and divers semblable exercises.”9 Other Renaissance authors also made mention of resistance training. The French philosopher Rabelais (1484-1553), for instance, described fantastic feats of strength which were supposedly performed as part of the physical training of his fictional character Gargantua.10 German educator Joachim Camerarius’ *Dialogues des Gymnastica*, published in 1544, also contained references to weight training.11 In the time of the first Queen Elizabeth, John Northbrooke wrote a treatise against gambling and dancing that advised young men to “labor with poises of lead or other metal.”12

In the sixteenth century, Michel Montaigne, the famous French essayist, described his father as a man of great vigor, “of an upright and well proportioned stature,” who actively pursued fitness and strength through regular training. According to Montaigne, his father trained “with hollow staves. . . filled with lead which he was wont to use and exercise his arms withal, the better to enable himself to pitch the barre, to throw the sledge, to cast the pole, and to play at fence.” Montaigne reported that his father also did exercises wearing “shoes with leaden soles,” which he believed helped him leap, vault, and run more effectively.13 By far the most important Renaissance text related to exercise was Hieronymus Mercurialis’ *De Arte Gymnastica Aput Ancientes*, which was first published in 1569 in Venice, Italy.14 Primarily a compilation of ancient ideas on medicine and exercise, this heavily illustrated text remained in print for more than a century with subsequent editions appearing in 1573, 1587, 1600, 1614 and 1672.15 *De Arte Gymnastica*’s author was one of the most famous
physicians of the Renaissance. Educated at Padua, Mercurialis served as personal physician to Emperor Maximilian II and was knighted by him in 1573 following a successful cure.\textsuperscript{16} De Arte Gymnastica introduced to Western thought many of the training principles that continue to influence contemporary approaches to physical training. The book revived an interest in Galen and the training methods of the ancient Greeks: and its numerous illustrations—though primitively drawn by modern standards—suggest a bodily ideal which could only be possible through systematic, resistance training.\textsuperscript{17} The medical historian L. H. Joseph noted of Gymnastica, “In reality, all the books on gymnastics [physical training] of the next centuries are based on this standard work of Mercurialis.”\textsuperscript{18} Mercurialis advocated a variety of exercise methods and exercise devices. He discussed the advantages of walking, throwing the discus, rope climbing, and ball games. For purposive training Mercurialis recommended heavy balls filled with sand—forerunners of modern medicine balls—and halteres or dumbbells.\textsuperscript{19} One of the most important aspects of Mercurialis’ text was the shape of the handweights. No longer curved like the ancient halteres, the dumbbells pictured in Mercurialis’ text resemble two conical pyramids stuck together by their heads. Mercurialis also described the use of the “tabula plumb” [plummets], the heavy sheets of rock or lead described by Galen hundreds of years earlier.\textsuperscript{20}

By the beginning of the eighteenth century, Mercurialis’ efforts to revive the physical training methods of the ancient Greeks had begun to pay dividends. Dumbbell training was once again becoming an accepted form of physical training. The British poet and essayist, Joseph Addison, [1672-1719] wrote in his magazine, The Spectator, that he learned of dumbbell exercises from “a Latin treatise . . . written with great erudition,” a statement suggesting his indebtedness to the Mercurialis text. On 12 July 1711, Addison explained, “When I was some years younger than I am at present, I used to employ myself in a more laborious diversion . . . it is there called . . . the fighting with a man’s own shadow; and consists in the brandishing of two short sticks, grasped in each hand, and loaded with plugs of lead at either end. This opens the chest, exercises the limbs and gives a man all the pleasure of boxing, without the blows.”\textsuperscript{21} Although Addison’s description of these hand-held implements coincides with our modern understanding of what is meant by the term “dumbbell,” he does not use the word to refer to these wood and iron implements.

Instead, in the same issue of The Spectator, Addison described what sounds like an entirely different type of “dumbbell” training. “For my own part, when I am in town,” he wrote, “I exercise myself an hour every morning upon a dumb bell that is placed in a corner of my room, and [it] pleases me the more because it does everything I require of it in most profound silence. My landlady and her daughters are so well acquainted with my hours of exercise, that they never come into my room to disturb me whilst I am ringing.”\textsuperscript{22} Unfortunately, exactly what Addison meant by this quotation is no longer clear. Does he refer to the swinging or “ringing” of an implement similar in appearance and function to our modern dumbbell or does he refer to an implement that more closely resembled a hand-held bell? Were early “dumbbells” actually what the word implies—bell-shaped forms cast from the molds used to make hand bells but either poured solid or made without a clapper or tongue so that they were “dumb?” Although every sport historian to whom I posed this question felt that this explanation for the term was the most likely, I have not found any historical discussion, or renderings, of people doing any sort of physical training with bell-shaped implements prior to 1830, and by that time the word “dumbbell” was in common usage. In fact, the only discussion of using bell-shaped implements for purposive training that I found appeared in an anonymously published 1831 text on women’s exercise entitled A Course of Calisthenics for Young Ladies in Schools and Families With Some Remarks on Physical Education. In that book, the author described using small bells to perform a rhythmic calisthenics movement called The Spanish Step in which, “bells are sometimes used, not dumb but tongueless. They are made with a wooden handle and a bell weighing about a pound. They are brought together and hit accurately so as to sound.”\textsuperscript{23}

Addison’s use of “dumb” in the second passage most likely referred to a now archaic use of the word “dumb-bell,” which The Oxford English Dictionary defined as “an apparatus, like that for swinging a church-bell, but without the bell itself, and thus making no noise, in the ‘ringing’ of which bodily exercise was taken.”\textsuperscript{24} According to David Webster’s The Iron Game, an apparatus of this sort was used at one time at Lord Sackville’s estate at Knowle, England. “The pulley-like apparatus had four iron arms each with a leaden ball at the end, like an ordinary hand dumbbell. Although the pulley apparatus was like a church-bell and the hand weights were like hand bells, neither rang or clanged so were termed dumb bells.” Continuing, Webster added, “Pulleys were also used by those first learning bell ringing, on these occasions the clapper was tied back to produce dumb-bells.”\textsuperscript{25} How common these dumbbell machines were is unknown.

These two uses of the term make it difficult to evaluate eighteenth century references to “dumbbells.” Even so, Benjamin Franklin’s surviving letters suggest that what we would recognize as dumbbell training played a significant role in his day-to-day life.\textsuperscript{26} In a letter to his son dated 19 August 1772, Franklin explained that he favored strenuous exercises which could be done in short periods of time. The “quantum of each” type of exercise, Franklin wrote, could be judged by the amount of warmth it produced in the body. “There is
Throughout the nineteenth century, light dumbbells were used for group exercise classes. This illustration, from J. Madison Watson's *Handbook of Calisthenics and Gymnastics*, published in 1864, shows a group of young men performing a "gymnastic charge" under the watchful eye of their instructor.

More exercise in one mile's riding on horseback than in five in a coach and more in one mile's walking on foot than in five on horseback," he explained. Dumbbell training, he told his son, was an excellent way to produce bodily warmth. "By the use of it, I have in forty swings, quickened my pulse from sixty to one hundred beats in a minute, counted by a second watch, and I suppose the warmth generally increases with quickness of pulse." In another letter, written in 1786 when he was eighty years old, Franklin answered a friend's query about his longevity with the statement that "I live temperately, drink no wine, and use daily the exercise of the dumbbell." While the "forty swings" suggests a hand-held implement, there is no evidence to conclusively prove that it was so. However, John Paugh's *A Physiological, Theoretical and Practical Treatise on the Utility of Muscular Exercise for Restoring the Power of the Limbs*, published in 1728, offered more satisfying proof of the use of handweights; it described dumbell exercises similar to our modern uses. So does Joseph Strutt's *Sports and Pastimes of the People of England*, first published in 1802. Strutt quoted both Northbrooke and the part of Addison's essay describing the hand weights in a section in *Sports and Pastimes* on dumbbell training. Strutt concluded that these types of exercises "are sometimes practiced in the present day and are called 'ringing of the dumbbells.'"

In the early nineteenth century, resistance training began to be incorporated into school physical education programs. J. C. F. GutsMuths' 1802 *Gymnastics* for Youth contained an interesting description of an implement similar to the "Weaver-stick," a device twentieth-century lifters have used to test wrist and forearm strength. GutsMuths' implement consisted of a pair of wooden staffs six-feet in length and notched at regular intervals. Each had six inch handles. One to two pound weights were then suspended from the notches and moved further out the staff as wrist and shoulder strength increased. Where the Weaver-stick test required that the arm be kept straight down at the side so that the weight would be raised by flexing the wrist upward GutsMuths' devices were used in the manner of a deltoid raise. "The person lifting is to stand upright, with his breast projecting forward; hold one of the instruments in each hand, with a straight arm; raise them slowly, both together, a little above the horizontal line; and let them down again in same manner. In the repetition of this exercise, the weight is to be moved further and further [away from the body] as long as the strength of the arms will admit." To further increase upper body strength GutsMuths advised holding sandbags either at arms-length in front of the shoulders, with the arms out to the sides in a crucifix position, or with the arms down at the sides.

By 1828, Charles Beck, the German physical educator who helped introduce German gymnastics to the United States, could begin a section of dumbell exercises in his classic *A Treatise on Gymnastics* with the confident statement, "these [hand-held dumbbells] are too well known to require a particular description." The "gymnastics" system Beck helped introduce to America grew from the efforts of a young German schoolteacher named Frederich Ludwig Jahn. Using GutsMuths' book and Greek athletic training as his models, Jahn began holding voluntary outdoor exercise classes in approximately 1809 near his school in Halle. From his early efforts came German gymnastics or *Turnen*, an exercise system which, from its outset, incorporated forms of resistance training. Beck's book, which was largely responsible for introducing the German system to America included directions for seventeen dumbell exercises, a descrip-
tion of the same notched stick with weights and sand-bag exercises suggested by GutsMuths, and two new innovations—an adjustable weight “dynameometron” and the lifting of a “beam” loaded with weights. Beck described the latter apparatus as a heavy beam, like a balance-beam, to which was attached a ring-handle. The beam was then placed on a stand approximately three feet high, the ring held in one hand, “the arm being stretched, and held, whilst the beam is removed from its point of gravity or loaded with weights.”35 It is not clear from this description whether Beck intended the lifter to hold the beam “stretched” overhead as in a press, or, more likely, in front of the body as in a deadlift.

The “dynameometron” described by Beck consisted of a heavily built wooden box, three inches high and approximately fifteen inches square. Inside the box were partitions creating 144 one-inch squares into which identical plugs of lead could be placed to vary the weight. The four squares in the center of the box were removed to admit an eight inch handle, which was then firmly attached to the bottom of the box. Beck does not explain how to use this implement, other than to say that two dynameometros should be used simultaneously to keep the body in balance.36 Whether these implements were widely used is not known. They do appear, however, to be the first resistance appliances specially designed to incorporate the idea of variable weight.37

**Indian Clubs**

In the early nineteenth century a “new” resistance appliance, the Indian club, appeared in England America, and the Continent. In the latter part of the eighteenth century, British military officers stationed in India were struck by the fitness and muscularity of many of the Indian soldiers and policemen. Further inquiry led to the discovery that the excellent physical condition of the Indians resulted from systematic training with a variety of wooden clubs. A contemporary account by one British officer observed, “The wonderful Club exercise is one of the most effectual kinds of athletic training known anywhere . . . [It is] in common use throughout India. . . . The exercise is in great repute among the native soldiery, police, and others whose caste renders them liable to emergencies where great strength of muscle is desirable.”38

Naturally, many primitive cultures placed value on being able to lift and swing big clubs. In India and Persia, however, what began as a survival tactic evolved into a system of physical training which passed down through the generations and continues to be used in the late twentieth century.39 Anthropologist Joseph Alter’s 1992 *The Wrestlers Body: Identity and Ideology in North India* examined this centuries-old form of physical conditioning in some detail. Alter writes, “Jon [Indian club] swinging is an art akin to wrestling. Some akharas [clubs] are devoted exclusively to jori swinging . . . In wrestling akharas, joris are swung for exercise as part of the larger regime. In jori akharas, swinging is an art in itself. From start to finish a swing is carefully choreographed.”40 During his field work for the book, Alter witnessed a modern wrestler give a club-swinging demonstration using an eighty kilo [176 pound] jori.41 The other weighted implement Alter saw used during his time in North India is the “gada,” a “heavy round stone, weighing anywhere from ten to sixty kilograms, affixed to the end of a meter-long bamboo staff.”

The gada “is swung in the same way as the jori,” wrote Alter, “except that only one gada is swung at a time” and it is permissible to use two hands.42 According to Alter, “Those who swing joris and gadas on a regular basis place a higher premium on the amount of weight lifted than on the sheer number of . . . [repetitions] swung.”43

When the British army decided to adopt Indian club training as part of their physical conditioning program in the early nineteenth century, they did not follow the Indians and incorporate both light-weight clubs for flexibility and quickness and heavyweight clubs for strength and muscle building. Instead, as Sim D. Kehoe observed in 1866, the British military adopted “a Calisthenic exercise with light clubs . . . combining a few of the old Swedish Cure extension movements, more calculated to open the chest, supple the figure, and give freedom to the muscles, [rather] than to develop strength or impart practical benefit greater than might be attained by numerous other light Gymnastics then extant.”44

The person responsible for introducing Indian club exercises to Europe and America was Donald Walker, author of *British Manly Exercises* which was published in 1834. *British Manly Exercises* was perhaps the most influential book on purposive exercise published in English during the nineteenth century.45 A compilation of information on various forms of gentlemanly exercise, Walker’s book contained both the sedate set of light-weight club exercises performed by the British army as well as instructions for more complicated and vigorous club routines. In 1835, Walker made a second contribution to the history of club training by introducing the “Indian sceptre” in his women’s exercise book, *Exercises for Ladies Calculated to Preserve and Improve Beauty*. Sceptres were merely smaller and more ornamental versions of the Indian club and weighed approximately two pounds each. Walker hyperbolically
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called sceptre exercises “the most useful and beautiful exercises ever introduced into physical education,” maintaining that they had “vast advantages over the dumbbells” for women.46

Although Indian clubs were primarily used for the sorts of light-weight calisthenics movements first popularized in Walker’s two books, a “Professor Harrison” of London championed the use of heavy clubs, which he called “Mugdah’s,” in the 1850s.47 The Illustrated London News for 14 August 1852 reported:

We learn that Mr. Harrison first began to use the clubs three years ago, at which time his muscular development was [not] regarded as being very great, his measurements being then: Round the chest, 37 1/2 inches, round the upper arm 13 7/8 inches, and round the forearm 13 1/4 inches. The clubs with which Mr. Harrison commenced weighed about seven pounds each; he has advanced progressively, until he can now wield with perfect ease two clubs, each weighing 37 pounds, and his heaviest weighs 47 pounds. The effect of this exercise on the wielder’s measurements is as follows: Round the chest 42 1/2 inches, the upper arm 15 inches and the forearm 14 inches. At the same time his shoulders have increased immensely, and the muscles of his loins, which were weak when he first used the clubs, are now largely developed and powerful. In short, all the muscles of the trunk have been much improved by this exercise.48

Harrison was well-known as a gymnastics teacher in London and was honored by Queen Victoria for his physical prowess.49 He authored a small training guide called Indian Clubs, Dumb-bells and Sword Exercises, and in approximately 1861 he met Sim D. Kehoe, a New York equipment manufacturer who became so enraptured by seeing Harrison “use the mammoth war clubs,” that he vowed to return to the United States and introduce heavy club training.

In 1862, Kehoe opened a shop and began manufacturing clubs in New York City. To spread the word, Kehoe—like many an enterprising businessman—sent free samples of his clubs to prominent individuals in the hope of securing positive endorsements. John C. Heenan, for instance, the most famous boxer of the Civil War era, wrote Kehoe, “As an assistant for training purposes, and imparting strength to the muscles of the arms, wrists, and hands, together in fact with the whole muscular system, I do not know of their equal. . . they will become one of the institutions of America.” Ulysses S. Grant wrote from Washington to thank Kehoe for a set of rosewood dumbells and Indian clubs, “Please accept my thanks for your thus remem-bering me, and particularly my boys, who I know will take great delight as well as receive benefit in using them.”49

In 1866, Kehoe published The Indian Club Exercise, a beautifully illustrated book which contained, in addition to an easy-to-follow system of exercise for both men and women, a series of physique studies showing the benefits of heavy club training.51 Two aspects of Kehoe’s book are particularly significant. The first is his differentiation between the short, light-weight “bat”—a one to four pound club used in calisthenics drills such as those popularized by Donald Walker and American exercise proponent Dio Lewis—and what Kehoe called the “long Club, or Indian Club proper.” Long clubs might vary in appearance, Kehoe explained, but their length should be between twenty-four and twenty-eight inches and they should weigh at least four pounds. Most beginners, Kehoe suggested, could start with a club of around ten pounds.52 The other aspect of Kehoe’s book which bears mentioning is his discussion of Indian club competitions and exhibitions. On 1 May 1866, a solid gold medal cast by the Tiffany Company was presented to J. Edward Russell of New York. According to Kehoe, a panel of judges found Russell to be the best club swinger at a gymnastics competition at Irving Hall. In another event, Kehoe reported, Charles Bennett, the “California Hercules,” gave an exhibition in which he used twenty pound clubs “in a variety of movements and held fifty two pounds in each hand at arms length, with ease.”53 A drawing of Bennett, “copied from a photograph,” gives some indication of how such feats might be possible. The heavy, defined musculature of his upper body is unusual for the mid-nineteenth century.54

The Evolution of the Barbell

In 1861, Thomas Wentworth Higginson, minister and exercise advocate, penned an article for the prestigious Atlantic Monthly entitled “Gymnastics.” The heart of Higginson’s article was a word tour of a German-style gymnasium—the sort of establishment Higginson believed was needed throughout America. Higginson allowed his readers to peek in on the free-hand calisthenics class; toured them past the gymnastics area where he explained the use of ladders, pommel horses, and parallel bars; and then showed them the “row of Indian clubs or sceptres.” After explaining their benefits, he took his readers to the “masses of iron, laid regularly in order of size,” a rack of dumbbells weighing from four to one hundred pounds. The dumbbell, Higginson wrote enthusiastically, was “a whole athletic apparatus packed up in the smallest space; it is gymnastic pemmican.”55 With one fifty pound dumbbell, or a pair half that size, Higginson argued, a man could exercise nearly every muscle in his body in half an hour.56

Higginson completed his gymnasium tour with a discussion of the health lift recently popularized by Dr. George Barker Windship, the Harvard-trained physician/lecturer/gym owner/profession-
al strongman. Higginson had only praise for the principles of heavy lifting and this praise, coupled with Windship’s growing popularity, helped launch a lifting and bodybuilding boom in the early 1860s which saw a number of men besides Windship become intrigued with the amount of weight they could “put up.”[58] [See “Strength is Health,” IGH 3(September 1993)].

But what is missing from this obviously well-appointed gym? Barbells. There is not a single mention of this apparatus in Higginson’s article nor in any other English-language book prior to The bars with spheres of six kilos were used for group exercise classes. From the time of Montaigne, wands or light-weight bars of various sorts had been used for purposive drill by both men and women. Nicholas Andry, for instance, in his 1743 handbook on exercise called Orthopaedia showed a young woman training with a wooden wand. As calisthenics exercises spread in popularity in the early nineteenth century, lightweight wands and dumbbells became the two most popular implements for group exercise classes for both men and women. A female student at Mount Holyoke wrote in 1860 examined by this author. Although the practice of heavy lifting was well established by this time, and iron dumbbells could be easily purchased in many hardware stores, the barbell was still unknown on this side of the Atlantic. To find its origins, we must look to Europe, where professional strongmen had been dazzling the public with their feats of strength at least since the beginning of the nineteenth century, and, especially to France, where one of these professionals—Hippolyte Triat—had given up the sawdust and footlights to open the largest gym in the world.

Hippolyte Triat was born in the small village of Saint-Chaptes, France in 1813. Raised by gypsies, Triat worked as a travelling acrobat up to the age of fifteen, then went to school for approximately six years before deciding to return to the stage as a professional strongman. By age twenty-two, he stood 5’10 1/2” tall and weighed two hundred pounds, making him a large man by early nineteenth-century standards. In 1840, after carefully saving his theatrical earnings, Triat opened a gym in Brussels which he managed until 1849. He then moved to Paris and constructed an enormous gymnasium unlike any other in the world at the time. It had approximate ninety-five hundred square feet of space on the first floor with two tiers of balconies above for spectators. Many of Paris’ most distinguished citizens signed up for classes.[59]

Although he did not “invent” them, the first spherical-ended barbells seen by this author appear in the 1854 illustration of Triat’s gym included in French historian Edmund Desbonnet’s Les Rois de la Force.[59] As can be seen in the accompanying enlargement of this drawing, the wall of Triat’s gym was covered with barbells, all of which appear to be of the same size. In an advertising brochure, Triat described these implements as “Barres A Spheres De 6 Kilos,” (bars with spheres of six kilos), although he also included in his equipment list “Gros Halteres et Barres A Deux Main,” (Large dumbells and bars for two hands). How heavy these large barbells might have been and how they were loaded is unknown although Triat reportedly had a dumbbell at his gym weighing over two hundred pounds. As the logo for his new enterprise, Triat adopted the emblem of a spherical barbell draped with a medallion and ribbon, a fact which suggests the importance of the barbell concept to his new gymnasium and training system. His motto was, “For the Regeneration of Man.”[60]

The bars with spheres of six kilos were used for group exercise classes. From the time of Montaigne, wands or light-weight bars of various sorts had been used for purposive drill by both men and women. Nicholas Andry, for instance, in his 1743 handbook on exercise called Orthopaedia showed a young woman training with a wooden wand. As calisthenics exercises spread in popularity in the early nineteenth century, lightweight wands and dumbbells became the two most popular implements for group exercise classes for both men and women. A female student at Mount Holyoke wrote in 1837 of the arrival of the “wands . . . not fairy wands, but quite substantial affairs which we grasped at either end, and carried in various ways holding them over the head, in front and back, etc.”[62] According to Edgar Mueller, the German system of exercises called Turnen also included “exercises with iron bars,” a meter in length and weighing from two to three kilos.[63] Mueller claimed that these iron bars, called Eisenstäbe, were first introduced by GutsMuths and were “used for different two handed lifts, especially for exercises with straight arms (in front, overhead and back).”[64]

Whether Triat got the idea for his fixed-weight barbells from these wooden and iron wands remains unknown, but Triat undoubtedly influenced the shape of wooden wands in the last half of the nineteenth century. After 1860 or so, wands were frequently depicted with small wooden globes on their ends. In Professor Harrison’s training guide, for instance, the invention of the “French dumbbell” is credited to ‘Trelar,” who, Harrison claimed, introduced the wooden implement to the French army. From there, Harrison reported, the “French dumbbell” was adopted by many upper-class French schools.[65] Dewitt’s Athletic Exercises for Health and Strength, a popular training guide from the 1870s also referred to wooden wands with globular ends as “French Dumbells.”[66]

The earliest use of the term “barbell” seen by the author appeared in a little-known, 1870

---
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British text called Madame Brennar’s Gymnastics for Ladies, A Treatise on the Science and Art of Calisthenics and Gymnastic Exercises. According to Madame Brennar, who ran a gymnasium in London at which women wore pantaloons for their training sessions, a “Bar-Bell” was an “appliance [that] partakes partly of the ‘Wand,’ and partly of the ‘Dumb-bell.’” Brennar described the implements as being four to six feet in length, thicker than an ordinary wooden wand and with wooden balls on either end. There is no appreciable difference between Brennar’s “barbell” and Harrison’s “French Dumbells.” Several other late-nineteenth century exercise manuals also referred to these wooden appliances as “barbells.”

Although Triat used light, fixed-weight barbells for his group classes in the 1850s, the implement does not appear to have enjoyed any great popularity until near the end of the nineteenth century. Weightlifting experts Edgar Mueller and Edmund Desbonnet both contend that the Austrian Karl Rappo was the first professional strongman to use globe-ended barbells in his stage act. Little is recorded of Rappo’s life except that he was born at Innsbruck in 1800 and died in Moscow in 1854. A further examination of Desbonnet’s Les Rois de la Force, our best source on the history of nineteenth-century strongmen, revealed that dumbbells, cannons, chains, and block weights were commonly depicted in Desbonnet’s section on the early nineteenth century. Barbells, however, do not appear in the illustrations until Desbonnet began describing the lives of the strongmen who worked in the 1880s. The absence of iron barbells was probably more a lack of supply or difficulties in casting than a lack of interest in heavy training. As Desbonnet’s book attests, a number of European men pursued careers as professional strongmen and countless others embraced the principle of heavy training for their personal workouts. According to Mueller, the Turner clubs helped spread the idea of resistance training throughout Germany in the nineteenth century, and in a few isolated pockets the lifting of heavy weights became a major focus of the Turner program. “Carl Schöbig told me,” Mueller wrote, “that in Leipzig’s oldest Turnverein [gymnastics club] . . . weightlifting with heavy globe barbells was practiced by the gymnasts (Turners) since 1865.” Mueller explained that Schöbig’s claim was based not on Schöbig’s personal experience but on what he had been told by older members of the Turnverein. Although we do not know what sorts of implements they used, a weightlifting club had formed in Munich by 1878, and
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the next year a second club formed at Wandsbeck, near Hamburg. 73

According to Mueller, the first iron barbells used in Ger-

many were massive, heavy implements made with solid globes. These
gave way in the 1870s to “hollow globical bars, filled with sand or

lead.” By the 1880s Mueller wrote, “hollow globe bars, filled with
discs,” were available for purchase in Germany. These were sold,
according to Mueller, in Köln (Cologne) by the firm of Heyden, a
company he believed was one of the oldest equipment manufactur-
eries in Germany. Disc barbells were also sold in this decade by the
Meyer company, located in Hagen. 74

Some historians have credited the German strongman, Pro-
fessor Attila [Louis Durlacher]—who assisted Sandow in the early
stages of his career and then opened a gym in New York City in
1893—with the idea of using sand or lead shot inside hollow spheres
to vary the weight of barbells, dumbells and kettlebells. 75 Although
Attila’s stage performances undoubtedly helped to popularize this
type of implement, Boston strongman George Barker Windship is
a much more deserving candidate for the honor of having “invented”
shot-loading weights. 76 In 1859, well in advance of Attila, Wind-
ship decided to tram to see if he could “put up” the greatest weight
on record. He procured two sixty-eight pound “shells” and screwed
them on a wrought-iron handle, creating an empty dumbell of 141
pounds, which was “capable of being increased to 180 pounds by the
simple process of pouring shot into the cavities of the shells, after
having first separated them from the handle. 77 Windship also
appears to have the best claim of being the “inventor” of plate-load-
ing equipment. In his quest for size and strength, Windship used his
considerable creativity to develop and patent several exercise devices.
One of these was a plate-loading dumbell which he began marketing
in 1865. Windship’s dumbell could be adjusted from eight to 101
pounds in half pound increments; it sold for $16.00. 78

Although Windship patented his plate-loading design in
1865, his sudden death in 1876 diminished America’s interest in lift-
ing as a form of regular exercise. What is more, many Americans
blamed Windship’s death by stroke at age forty-two on his heavy lift-
ing, a fact which caused a decline in interest in strength training for
several decades. During the 1880s and 1890s heavy dumbells and
barbells were nearly impossible to find in America Peck and Sny-
der’s Price List of Out & Indoor Sport and Pastimes for 1886, for
example, offered a good assortment of rowing machines, pulleys,
cable exercisers, and wooden clubs and dumbells; but their iron dumb-
ells only went up to fifty pounds in weight. No iron barbells of any
sort appeared in the catalog. 79 Even at the turn of the century, heavy
equipment was not readily available in the United States. The 1902
Sears and Roebucks Catalog, for instance, only offered dumbells up
to twenty-five pounds in weight. Again, no barbells were available
for purchase. 80

Although professional strongmen apparently found indi-
vidual metal workers who created spherical dumbells and barbells
for their shows, the average man who wanted to emuate these show-
men had difficulty finding weight training equipment. In America,
that problem was finally solved by Alan Calvert of Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania, who founded the Milo Barbell Company in 1902.
Strength historian David P. Willoughby considered the advent of the
Milo Company as “the greatest single impetus ever given to weight-
lifting in this country.” 81

The first devices sold by Milo were shot-loading barbells
with canister-shaped “bells” on each end. To change the weight a
wing-nut, shown in the accompanying advertisement from the April
1902 issue of Physical Culture, was un-screwed and the lid
removed. 82 How many of these $7.50 sets sold is not known. How-
ever, customers apparently complained about the amount of time it
took to change the weight by this method. In 1908, Calvert intro-
duced a new model which he called the Milo Triplex. 83 In an adver-
sising brochure from 1909, the four and a half foot Triplex appeared
to be a simple globe barbell when it was fully loaded and ready to lift.
However, removing the outer shells revealed Calvert’s new inno-
vation. The eight and one-half-inch spheres were divided into two
sections. On one side, up to thirty pounds of shot could be loaded
in the end of the globe. On the other side of the divider, iron plates
from twenty-five to two and a half pounds could be added or sub-
tracted to vary the weight. Fully loaded, the bar weighed 105 pounds;
additional handles allowed the Triplex spheres to be used for kettle-
bells or dumbells. 84

Windship’s PLATE-LOADING DUMBBELL WHICH HE PATENTED ON 14 FEBRU-
ARY 1865. THE BAR COULD BE LOADED FROM EIGHT TO 101 POUNDS IN
HALF POUND INCREMENTS.
One of Calvert’s main rivals in the exercise equipment industry was British strongman Thomas Inch, a man historian David Webster called “the first to introduce plate-loading barbells and dumbbells.” Inch was an enthusiastic advocate of plate-loading barbells but, as previously noted, he did not invent them. Born in 1881, Inch was active in the British physical culture community by 1910. He ran a gym, sold equipment and wrote at least twenty short books. However, he rarely included copyright dates in his texts, making it difficult to trace his career. Nonetheless, Inch was an early, and important contributor to the development of the barbell. In Scientific Weightlifting, one of his earliest texts, Inch advertised a variety of barbells which he sold through the mail. Like Calvert, he offered a combination globe/plate-loading barbell, although Inch’s bar had the plates on the outside of the globes. He also offered a variety of plate loading sets, claiming, “I am the only person supplying these bells.” Inch strongly favored plate-loading barbells and claimed that “The advantages of having a set of plates with a bar to fit, and collars, etc., are not generally understood... One bell may cover a great number of lifts and thus a great saving is effected in both space and money.” Calvert, who also sold plate-loading bells by 1909, did not agree. “The principal defect of bells that load only with plates,” Calvert wrote, “is that they cannot be increased in weight except in jumps of 5 lbs or more. In order to practice weightlifting safely and successfully you must have a bell that can be increased one ounce at a time if necessary—and this alone makes it unwise to use a bell which loads only with iron plates.” Despite his personal preference for spherical weights, Calvert was astute enough to sense the change in training methods which plate loading barbells represented. By 1910 his catalogue also included four different plate-loading sets which were modern in appearance and lacked the spherical shells at each end.

In Germany, exposed-plate barbells and dumbbells were first introduced by Josef Markl, a former member of the Rasso Trio, who “constructed so-called ring-bars... with huge thick discs” in 1889. According to Mueller, at least three German companies quickly stole Markl’s idea and began manufacturing disc-loading barbells. Heinrich Meyer’s equipment company in Hagen, Westfalen, sold a set in which all the plates were of the same height. Hermann Fechner of Dresden-Trachau and Hermann Stein of Magdeburg also manufactured plate-loading barbell sets in the 1890s. Another early reference to plate-loading barbells can be found in The Official Gazette of the U.S. Patent Office for 1889. Patent number 405,128 described an “Exercising Bar,” invented by Samuel B. Stockburger of Canton, Ohio. Stockburger’s barbell consisted of a bar made of “spring material of such length to be grasped by two hands, the removable weights... and means for attaching said weights.” Stockburger appears to be the first person to suggest using a flexible bar for weightlifting. The illustration accompanying his patent application shows five plates on each end of the bar. All are of the same height but their thickness varied considerably.

**Conclusion**

Throughout the twentieth century, a host of exercise entrepreneurs have contributed to the evolution of the plate-loading barbell. Theodore Siebert of Germany began marketing his Siebert’s Universal-Scheibenstange (Universal disc loading bar) in 1901. In 1905, Franz Veltum of Fechenheim, Germany, introduced the Veltum-Barbell, which, according to Mueller, was the “first revolving-type barbell.” In 1910, Veltum’s revolving barbell began to be manufactured by the Nürnberg-based equipment company of Kaspar Berg. The Veltum-Barbell was soon known as the Berg-Barbell or Berg-Hantel and its sales helped the Berg company become the most successful barbell manufacturer in Germany in the early twentieth century. In 1928, Kaspar Berg introduced a new model, the first “modern” Olympic barbell” These bars, used for the first time at the Olympic Games in Amsterdam in 1928, were then copied by the York Barbell Company, the Jackson Barbell Company, and nearly all other twentieth-century manufacturers. The York “Olympic Bar” was actually copied from a set Henry “Mile” Steinborn was given in the late 1920s by Dr. William Edward Campbell, Jr., an Atlanta ophthalmologist. In the early 1930s Bob
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*This 1902 Advertisement for the Milo Adjustable Bar-Bell appeared in Physical Culture magazine. Dale Friesz donated a Milo dumbbell, made on this model, to our collection.*
Alan Calvert, founder of the Milo Barbell Company, argued that shot-loading barbells, as seen here from a company catalogue, were superior to plate-loading bells because shot-wadding allowed the weight to be increased by a few ounces at a time.

Hoffman asked Steinborn to loan the set to York so that it could be copied.

In the twentieth century, plate-loading barbells quickly passed the kettlebell and Indian club in popularity and joined the dumbell as the favored tool of serious trainers. Although the late-twentieth century has seen the invention of a number of high-tech, expensive machines which claim to be superior to all other forms of training, the simple dumbell and barbell still reign supreme. Almost all sport scientists consider them superior to machines for building athletic power. Whether the dumbell and barbell ever become obsolete—as the Indian club and kettlebell have—remains to be seen; however, the almost infinite adaptability and effectiveness of these simple tools suggests that they will be at the heart of the iron game for some years to come.
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